

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,500	06/24/2003	Prasad Miriyala	CISCP109C1/7872	3636
22434 BEYER WEAV	7590 10/18/2007 VER LLP	•	EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 7025			SOL, ANT	HONY M
OAKLAND, C	A 94012-0230		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/603,500	MIRIYALA, PRASAD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anthony Sol	2619			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON.	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status		,				
· 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/24/	<u> 2007</u> .				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-43 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-43 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
	W-)		•			
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2619

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- Applicant's Amendment filed 7/24/2007 is acknowledged.
- The previous objection to claim 29 is withdrawn.
- Claims 1, 4, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24, 29, 33, and 42 have been amended.
- Claim 3 has been canceled.
- Claims 1-2 and 4-42 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-16, 18-24, 26-38 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,665,304 B2 ("Beck").

Regarding claims 1, 20, 24, 33, and 42,

Beck shows in fig. 7 a standby group of ATM network devices (*processor nodes* 10a, 10b, 10c) within an ATM network (col. 10, lines 64-67, high-speed communications interface, e.g. ATM), each ATM network device within the standby group having its own ATM address (S1.A, S1.B, S1.C) and sharing a non-ATM network

address with other members of the standby group (col. 11, lines 17-22, 40-45, *cluster alias address*).

Beck further shows in fig. 7 a server (network router 25) which is configured to determine that a first member (processor node A 10a) of the standby group of network devices is not available to provide the network service and identify a second member. (processor node B 10b) of the standby group of network devices to provide the network service, wherein the determination by the server is performed based on at least one of i) detecting that the first member has not opened a virtual circuit within a predetermined period, and detecting that the first member has not sent a KEEP ALIVE message within a predetermined period (col. 12, lines 14 to col. 13, line 63, see in particular col. 13, lines 9-11, For illustration purposes, consider that processor node 10a is the preferred path to subnet \$1. When processor node 10a crashes, the network router will stop getting responses to its queries. After a predetermined period of time has expired, the routing daemon 21 will timeout while waiting for a response from processor node 10a). and wherein the server is an ATM server in the ATM network (col. 10, lines 64-67, highspeed communications interface, e.g. ATM; col. 12, lines 51-60, Network 22 includes network router devices, e.g., network router 25).

## 3. Regarding claim 2,

Beck discloses that of the three processor nodes 10a-10c, the network router 25 typically selects one to use as a preferred path to subnet S1 (col. 13, lines 3-5).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/603,500

Art Unit: 2619

#### 4. Regarding claims 4 and 27,

Beck shows in fig. 2 processor nodes 10a-10c in a "subnet S1" 22 having a virtual connection to network router 25 (col. 4, lines 21-28, col. 11, lines 30-45, col. 12, lines 50-65).

## 5. Regarding claims 6 and 7,

Beck shows in fig. 7 a virtual subnet S3, wherein each processor node associated with a virtual subnet "advertise" the location of that virtual subnet to router 25. Beck discloses that each processor node 10 in the cluster 24 uses IP routing to advertise itself as a network route to the associated virtual subnet (col. 11, lines 30-39).

# 6. Regarding claim 8,

Beck discloses when processor node 10a crashes, the network router will stop getting responses to its queries (col. 13, lines 9-11).

# 7. Regarding claim 9,

Beck discloses that each network router maintains a map database that indicates available network paths over which data packets can be sent to reach particular processor nodes (col. 12, lines 54-57).

8. Regarding claims 10, 11, 12, 13, 16 and 22,

Beck discloses that processor nodes 10b and 10c arbitrate among themselves to

Art Unit: 2619

determine which one will acquire the network layer address of processor node 10a (col. 13, lines 43-45).

### 9. Regarding claim 14,

Beck discloses the use of ARP protocol and how daemon processes 21 queries the processor nodes and network routers to which it is connected to find out which processor nodes and network routers they are connected to. Beck further discloses that if processor node 10a crashes, processor nodes 10b and 10c arbitrate to determine which one will acquire the network layer address of processor node 10a. Beck further discloses that accordingly, the routing daemon 21 puts together a table of routes from the router to each processor node (col. 11, line 49 to col. 13, line 59).

## 10. Regarding claim 15,

Beck shows in fig. 7 a standby group of ATM network devices (*processor nodes* 10a, 10b, 10c) within an ATM network (col. 10, lines 64-67, high-speed communications interface, e.g. ATM), each ATM network device within the standby group having its own ATM address (S1.A, S1.B, S1.C) and sharing a non-ATM network address with other members of the standby group (col. 11, lines 17-22, 40-45, *cluster alias address*).

Beck further shows in fig. 7 a server (*network router 25*) which is configured to determine that the first network device (processor node 10b) is available by at least one of (i) detecting that the first network device has opened a virtual circuit within a

predetermined period, and (ii) detecting that the first network device has sent a KEEP ALIVE message within a predetermined period (col. 12, lines 14 to col. 13, line 63, see in particular col. 12, line 67 to col. 13, line 3, *A routing daemon 21 that queries processing nodes 10a-10c generates a map indicating that each of those processor nodes can be used as paths to subnet S1*).

Beck discloses that the server is configured to send a notification identifying the first network device by ATM address (*S1.B*) and the shared non-ATM network address (*cluster alias address associated with a virtual subnet S3*) and receive one or more packets destined for the shared non-ATM network address (col. 12, lines 14-34).

### 11. Regarding claim 18,

Beck discloses that the cluster management application sends a message to the other processor nodes within the cluster when one of those processor nodes crashes. Beck further discloses that nodes 10b and 10c arbitrate among themselves to determine whine one will acquire the network layer address of processor node 10a (col. 13, lines 38-45).

### 12. Regarding claim 19,

Beck discloses cluster alias address associated with virtual subnet S3. Beck discloses that the route to the virtual subnet address are advertised using common IP routing protocol (col. 12, lines 14-22).

Art Unit: 2619

13. Regarding claims 21, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38,

Beck discloses that one or **more** cluster alias addresses may be "configured" in a virtual or physical subnet (col. 11, lines 40-45).

#### 14. Regarding claim 23,

Beck shows in fig. 7 a network device (*processor node 10a*) in the ATM network (col. 10, lines 64-67, *high-speed communications interface, e.g. ATM*), the network device having at least one non-ATM network address (col. 11, lines 17-22, 40-45, *cluster alias address*).

Beck further shows in fig. 7 a server (*network router 25*) which is configured to assign the network device to a group of network devices having a shared non-ATM network address (col. 11, lines 17-22, 40-45, *cluster alias address*) and to determine whether the network device is not available by at least one of (i) determining whether the network device has not opened a virtual circuit within a predetermined period, and (ii) determining whether the network device has not sent a KEEP ALIVE message within a predetermined period (col. 12, lines 14 to col. 13, line 63, see in particular col. 13, lines 9-11, *For illustration purposes, consider that processor node 10a is the preferred path to subnet S1. When processor node 10a crashes, the network router will stop getting responses to its queries. After a predetermined period of time has expired, the routing daemon 21 will timeout while waiting for a response from processor node 10a).* 

Beck discloses that if the network device is not available, change the network device from an active status in which the network device services the

Art Unit: 2619

non-ATM network address to a standby status in which the network device does not service the non-ATM network address (col. 13, lines 55-59, *After the routing failover period has expired, the routers will not send data packets to processor node 10a*).

15. Regarding claim 26,

Beck discloses high-speed communications interface, e.g. ATM (col. 10, lines 64-

67).

16. Regarding claim 28;

Beck discloses cluster alias address (col. 11, lines 17-22, 40-45).

17. Regarding claims 29 and 32,

Beck discloses use of a bitmask, referred to as "subnet mask," that is "ANDed" with the identified destination address, e.g. the cluster alias address (col. 11, lines 14-19).

18. Regarding claim 30,

Beck discloses a map database (col. 12, lines 51-65).

19. Regarding claim 31,

Beck discloses a table of routes (col. lines 51-65).

Art Unit: 2619

20. Regarding claim 40,

Beck shows in fig. 7, a network router 25.

21. Regarding claim 41,

Beck shows in fig. 7, a Subnet S2 with ATM addresses S2.B and S2.C.

22. Regarding claim 43,

Beck shows in fig. 7, that each of the processing nodes 10a, 10b, and 10c, can be used as paths to Subnet S1, which is a physical connection (claimed bridge; col. 13, lines 2-3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 24. Claims 5, 17, 25 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Beck in view of RFC 2225 Classical IP and ARP over ATM ("RFC 2225").

Regarding claims 5, 17, 25 and 39,

Beck does not disclose that the server is configured to send an ATMARP request to form the virtual connections .

RFC 2225 discloses in an SVC environment, ATMARP servers are used to resolve target IP addresses to target ATM address via an ATMARP request and reply protocol and that ATMARP servers must have authoritative responsibility for resolving ATMARP requests of all IP members using SVCs located within the LIS (Section 5.2).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method for providing an integrated cluster alias address of Beck to use an ATMARP request as taught by RFC 2225. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to make the combination for the purpose of resolving target IP addresses to target ATM address (RFC 2225, Section 5.2).

#### Response to Arguments

- 25. Applicant's arguments filed 7/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - The Applicant argues on page 9 of the Remarks that nothing in Beck patent suggests that router 25, which is outside of the cluster 24 and which the Action treats as the claimed server, is an ATM server.
  - The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Beck discloses that network 22 of fig. 7 can be an ATM network by the virtue of an ATM interface (col. 10, lines 63-67). Beck further discloses that

network 22 includes network router 25 (col. 12, lines 51-60), thus network router 25 is in the ATM network; therefore, network

#### Conclusion

router 25 is an ATM server.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Sol whose telephone number is (571) 272-5949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**AMS** 

10/9/2007